IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	Civil Action No. 3:21-CV-1795-C

ORDER

Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge therein advising the Court that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss should be granted.¹

The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).

After due consideration and having conducted a *de novo* review, the Court finds that Plaintiff's objections should be **OVERRULED**. The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is

¹ Plaintiff has filed timely objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation.

ADOPTED as the findings and conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated therein, the Court ORDERS that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss be GRANTED. Accordingly, Plaintiff's federal claims are DISMISSED with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). Additionally, the Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims and said claims are DISMISSED without prejudice.

SO ORDERED.

Dated February 32, 2022.

SAM R. CUMMINGS

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE